

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING BY "EXPRESS MAIL" (37 CFR 1.10)Applicant(s): **Don Warburton**

Docket No.

14374.85

Application No.

10/052,166

Filing Date

01/17/2002

Examiner

Moon K. Song

Customer No.

022913

Group Art Unit

2882

Invention: **TARGET FOR A STATIONARY ANODE IN AN X-RAY TUBE**

I hereby certify that the following correspondence:

Issue Fee Transmittal Letter (1 pg. in triplicate); PTO Form PTOL-85B transmitting payment of Issue Fee (1 pg., in duplicate); PTO-2038 Credit Card Payment Form for \$1,373.00 (1 pg.); Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance (1 pg.); Certificate of Mailing by Express Mail Label No. EV 462 384 187 US; Postcard

(Identify type of correspondence)

is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

October 29, 2004*(Date)***ERIC L. MASCHOFF***(Typed or Printed Name of Person Mailing Correspondence)**(Signature of Person Mailing Correspondence)***EV 462 384 187 US***("Express Mail" Mailing Label Number)***Note: Each paper must have its own certificate of mailing.**



PATENT APPLICATION
Docket No: 14374.85

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of: Don Warburton)
Serial No: 10/052,166) Art Unit
Filed: January 17, 2002) 2882
Confirmation No.: 3183)
For: TARGET FOR A STATIONARY ANODE IN AN)
X-RAY TUBE)
Examiner: Hoon K. Song)

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner's statement of reasons for allowance as set forth in the communication mailed on July 30, 2004. The Applicant concurs with the Examiner's conclusion that the prior art does not suggest or render obvious the claimed invention. However, Applicant submits that it is the claim as a whole, rather than any particular limitation, that makes each of the claims in the above-identified application allowable. No single limitation should be construed as the reason for allowance of a claim because it is each of the elements of the claim that distinguish the claim from the prior art and make it allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: October 29, 2004

By: Eric L. Maschoff
ERIC L. MASCHOFF
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 36,596
Customer No. 022913